Coupled frustrated ferromagnetic and antiferromagnetic quantum spin chains in the quasi-one-dimensional mineral antlerite, $Cu_3SO_4(OH)_4$

Anton A. Kulbakov,¹ Denys Kononenko,² Satoshi Nishimoto,^{2,3} Quirin Stahl,¹ Aswathi Mannathanath Chakkingal,¹ Manuel Feig,⁴ Roman Gumeniuk,⁴ Yurii Skourski,⁵ Lakshmi Bhaskaran,⁵ Sergei A. Zvyagin,⁵ Jan Peter Embs,⁶ Inés Puente-Orench,^{7,8} Andrew Wildes,⁸ Jochen Geck,^{1,9} Oleg Janson,^{2,*} Dmytro S. Inosov,^{1,9,†} and Darren C. Peets^{1,‡}

¹Institut für Festkörper- und Materialphysik, Technische Universität Dresden, 01069 Dresden, Germany

²Leibniz Institute for Solid State and Materials Research Dresden, 01069 Dresden, Germany

³Institut für Theoretische Physik, Technische Universität Dresden, 01069 Dresden, Germany

⁴Institut für Experimentelle Physik, TU Bergakademie Freiberg, 09596 Freiberg, Germany

¹⁵Dresden High Magnetic Field Laboratory (HLD-EMFL),

Helmholtz-Zentrum Dresden-Rossendorf, 01328 Dresden, Germany

⁶Laboratory for Neutron Scattering and Imaging,

Paul Scherrer Institut, 5232 Villigen, Switzerland

⁷Instituto de Nanociencia y Materiales de Aragón (INMA),

CSIC-Universidad de Zaragoza, Zaragoza 50009, Spain

⁸Institut Laue-Langevin, 71 Avenue des Martyrs, CS 20156, CEDEX 9, 38042 Grenoble, France

⁹ Würzburg-Dresden Cluster of Excellence on Complexity and Topology in Quantum Matter – ct.qmat.

Technische Universität Dresden, 01069 Dresden, Germany

Magnetic frustration, the competition among exchange interactions, often leads to novel magnetic ground states with unique physical properties which can hinge on details of interactions that are otherwise difficult to observe. Such states are particularly interesting when it is possible to tune the balance among the interactions to access multiple types of magnetic order. We present antlerite, $Cu_3SO_4(OH)_4$, as a potential platform for tuning frustration. The low-temperature magnetic state of its three-leg zigzag ladders is a quasi-one-dimensional analog of the magnetic state recently proposed to exhibit spinon-magnon mixing in botallackite. Density functional theory calculations indicate that antlerite's magnetic ground state is exquisitely sensitive to fine details of the atomic positions, with each chain independently on the cusp of a phase transition, indicating an excellent potential for tunability.

Magnetic frustration, wherein the exchange energy cannot be simultaneously minimized on all individual bonds in the spin system, leads to a wide array of novel magnetic phases [1-3]. The ground state can be selected by a delicate balance of interactions, while the cancellation of stronger interactions can bring weaker interactions to the fore, allowing the observation of effects that would ordinarily be hidden or negligible [4]. Magnetic frustration can be achieved either geometrically, where spins populate a lattice whose spatial arrangement forces the interactions to compete, or through longer-range interactions which compete with shorter-range interactions [5– A reduction in dimensionality can also assist in 7destabilizing conventional magnetic order, by reducing the number of exchange pathways that lower its energy. The richest physics is expected where the energy scales of the interactions and the competition among them either prevent the system from finding a unique ground state, or make multiple spin configurations nearly degenerate, such that the material may be readily tuned among several exotic states. This can be particularly interesting in quantum spin systems, materials with effective spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ moments, where quantum fluctuations also play a significant role.

Divalent Cu materials offer a particularly attractive playground for frustration, since a strong tendency toward Jahn-Teller distortions breaks orbital degeneracy, leading to a half-filled band, in which strong on-site interactions drive localization and favor $S=\frac{1}{2}$ antiferromagnetism. A wide variety of Cu sublattices are realized in natural minerals, predominantly composed of distorted Cu triangular motifs [8], offering a rich playground for frustrated quantum spin physics. As one very recent example, the interaction of spinons and magnons was reported for the first time in botallackite $Cu_2(OH)_3Br[9]$. Here a distorted-triangular Cu plane can be understood as alternating ferro- and antiferromagnetic (AFM) 1D chains with weaker interchain interactions. The magnetic ground state in botallackite, shown in Fig. 1(e), has now been explained through a combination of first-principles calculations based on density functional theory (DFT), linear spin-wave theory, and exact diagonalization [10], and related systems are now being explored theoretically as the spin model is generalized [11].

The natural mineral antlerite, $Cu_3SO_4(OH)_4$, is a three-leg ladder compound in which zigzag bonds between the central and side legs form triangles of Cu^{2+} ions [12] — its copper sublattice is depicted in Fig. 1(b). Such triangular-lattice ladders have been studied far less than their square-lattice analogues [13], despite the opportunity for strong frustration. Previous neutron

^{*} o.janson@ifw-dresden.de

[†] dmytro.inosov@tu-dresden.de

[‡] darren.peets@tu-dresden.de



FIG. 1. (a) Crystal structure of $Cu_3SO_4(OD)_4$ from single-crystal x-ray diffraction at 30 K and 5.9-K neutron powder diffraction. (b) Cu sublattice viewed along the **b** axis. (c) Refined magnetic ground state of antlerite. (d) Exchange interactions within a ladder. (e) Cu sublattice [14] and spin orientations [9] in botallackite for comparison. Neutron powder refinements are shown for (f) the paramagnetic state at 5.9 K and (g) the low-temperature ground state at 2.2 K. (h) The difference between these datasets. Inset: refinement of spin-polarized neutron diffraction data (D7) with the idle-spin and non-idle-spin magnetic models.

diffraction studies on antlerite indicated that only the outer legs of the ladder possess an ordered moment while the central leg exhibits unexplained "idle-spin" behavior [15, 16]. However, follow-up studies have seriously questioned this result. DFT calculations showed strong AFM coupling along the central leg of the ladder, which would be expected to induce order [17]. Then, comprehensive specific-heat and proton-NMR measurements in magnetic field [18] found a more complex magnetic phase diagram than previously reported [16], including a phase which can only be realized if the system has at least four distinct magnetic sites. The correct low-temperature magnetic ground state has remained elusive.

In this Letter, we report the low-temperature magnetic ground state of antlerite and model its magnetic interactions using DFT. It is not idle spin as previously proposed [15]. In a ground state strongly reminiscent of botallackite, the ferromagnetic (FM) outer legs of the ladder are antialigned but noncollinear, while the central leg is AFM with a very different spin orientation. DFT finds that both inner and outer chains are on the cusp of phase transitions. This proximity to multiple phase transitions suggests a unique ability to tune a state of coupled FM and AFM chains. We anticipate that antlerite could serve as a versatile platform for investigating spinon-magnon interactions and the competition among magnetic ground states.

Antlerite was synthesized hydrothermally under au-

togenous pressure at 180 °C in a Teflon-lined stainless steel autoclave, from $CuSO_4 \cdot 5H_2O$ (Alfa Aesar, 99%) and $Cu(OH)_2$ (Alfa Aesar, 94%) in distilled or deuterated (Acros Organics, 99.8%D) water. Single-crystal x-ray diffraction data were collected from 30 to $295\,\mathrm{K}$ on a Bruker-AXS KAPPA APEX II CCD diffractometer with graphite-monochromated Mo- K_{α} radiation using an Oxford Cryosystems N-HeliX cryostat to verify the space group symmetry and atomic positions. Weighted full-matrix least-squares refinements on F^2 were performed with SHELX [19, 20] as implemented in WINGX 2014.1 [21]. To determine accurate hydrogen positions and the magnetic structure, high-resolution neutron powder diffraction was performed at D1B[22] and neutron diffraction with polarization analysis using D7 [23], both at the Insitut Laue-Langevin (ILL), Grenoble, France. Powder diffraction data were Rietveld-refined in FULL-PROF by the full-matrix least-squares method [24], using the scattering factors from Ref. 25. The atomic positions, shown in Fig. 1(a) and detailed in the Supplemental Material in Section S3, largely agree with the previously reported structure [12, 15], hydrogen positions excepted. The latter are now better aligned with their host oxygen atoms along c.

Powder inelastic neutron scattering (INS) was measured on the FOCUS spectrometer at the Paul Scherrer Institute, Villigen, Switzerland [26], using wavelengths of 5 and 6 Å, having energy resolution of 91 and $43 \,\mu\text{eV}$

at the elastic line, respectively, and was modelled using SPINW[27]. High-field magnetization of a powder sample was measured at the Dresden High Magnetic Field Laboratory (HLD), Helmholtz-Zentrum Dresden-Rossendorf (HZDR), Germany, using a 60-T pulsed field magnet with a rise time of 7 ms and a total pulse duration of 25 ms. The magnetization was obtained by integrating the voltage induced in a compensated coil system surrounding the sample [28].

Neutron diffractograms in the paramagnetic state at 5.9 K and in the low-temperature state at 2.2 K are shown in Figs. 1(f) and 1(g), respectively. Additional magnetic intensity on structural Bragg peaks and new weak magnetic peaks were observed at low temperature, as seen in the difference spectrum in Fig. 1(h) — the strongest magnetic reflection, the structurally forbidden (100), is highlighted. Our refinements of the low-temperature ground state do not support the idle-spin model proposed previously [15, 16, 29], which fails to predict several observed magnetic peaks as shown in the inset to Fig. 1(h). The data are instead best described by a model with propagation vector (100) having AFM order along the central (Cu1) leg of the ladder and significant canting of the other spins, as depicted in Fig. 1(c). The ordered moments on the Cu1 site are $0.80(22) \mu_{\rm B}$ along $(\pm 0.54(17), 0, \pm 0.59(14))$, while the ordered Cu2 moments of $0.97(5) \mu_{\rm B}$ lie along $(0, \pm 0.38(5), \pm 0.89(9))$ and are ferromagnetically aligned along each side leg. As found previously, the side legs are mutually antialigned. Accounting for q factors of 2.1–2.3 from ESR [30] (also see Supplemental Material in Section S5) and magnetization [16], this indicates that Cu2 is nearly fully ordered, while a reduced Cu1 moment may arise from the quantum fluctuations expected for $S = \frac{1}{2}$, from frustration, or from the low number of interactions present in this low-dimensional material. Our refined ground state resembles the "AF6" state calculated in Ref. [17] but with significant additional canting.

To determine the microscopic magnetic model of antlerite, we performed density-functional-theory (DFT) band-structure calculations using the full-potential localorbital code FPLO v. 18 [31]. We employed a scalarrelativistic treatment within the generalized gradient approximation (GGA) for the exchange and correlation potential [32]. For the structural input, we used our newly refined low-temperature unit cell parameters and atomic coordinates, which are described alongside further details of our calculations in the Supplemental Material below.

In a nonmagnetic GGA calculation, antlerite features a spurious metallic ground state due to the underestimation of Coulomb repulsion among the Cu 3d electrons. An eight-band manifold crossing the Fermi level is due to the antibonding combination of Cu $3d_{x^2-y^2}$ and O $2p_{\sigma}$ states. We mapped these states onto an effective one-orbital model which is parameterized by projecting the respective GGA bands onto a Wannier basis of Cu $3d_{x^2-y^2}$ states. The parameters of the effective one-orbital model are hopping integrals t_{ij} that describe virtual electron

TABLE I. Leading hopping $(t_{ij}, \text{ in meV})$ and exchange $(J_{ij}, \text{ in K})$ integrals in antlerite based on our refined structure. Positive exchanges are AFM. The respective intersite distances $d_{\text{Cu-Cu}}$ are given in Å.

Label	$d_{\mathrm{Cu-Cu}}$	t_{ij}	J_{ij}		Type of exchange
J_1	2.980	93	-26	Ĵ	first-neighbor in outer legs
J_2	3.053	73	-11	J	
$J_3 = J_4$	3.240 3.151	101	9 11	}	couple central and outer legs
J_5	3.018	167	48		first-neighbor in central leg
J_6	6.034	56	25		second-neighbor in central leg
J_6'	6.034	18	6		second-neighbor in outer legs
J7 I_	6.391 5.634	26 30	~ 1	}	couple outer legs
J_7	0.034	30	$\simeq 0$	J	

transfer between Cu sites i and j. Nine t_{ij} terms exceeding the threshold of 15 meV are provided in Table I, where we adopt the notation from Refs. 15–17. In agreement with the previous works, we find that antlerite is a quasi-one-dimensional (1D) magnet, with sizable hopping interactions confined to the three-leg ladders [33].

To estimate the respective exchange integrals, we doubled the unit cell in the $\hat{\boldsymbol{b}}$ direction and constructed 64 inequivalent magnetic configurations, whose total energies were calculated on a $2 \times 2 \times 2 k$ -mesh within the GGA+U approximation. To describe interaction effects in the 3d shell of Cu, we used the onsite Coulomb repulsion U = 8.5 eV, the onsite Hund exchange J = 1 eV, and the fully localized limit for the double counting correction. The resulting total energies are mapped onto a classical Heisenberg model, whose model parameters—the magnetic exchange integrals J_{ij} in Table I—are evaluated by a least-squares solution (see Supplemental Material in Section S6).

While both the crystal and electronic structure of antlerite are shaped by ladders, the backbone of its spin model is the legs, which are coupled by relatively weak J_3 and J_4 exchanges. The central leg hosts competing AFM exhanges J_5 and J_6 operating, respectively, between first and second neighbors. In contrast, the side legs feature alternating first-neighbor FM exchanges J_1 and J_2 and a weaker AFM exchange J'_6 between second neighbors the relevance of which, to the best of our knowledge, has not been discussed previously. Finally, while two relevant hoppings connect the side legs, only J_7 gives rise to a small AFM exchange. Our microscopic magnetic model is fundamentally different from the phenomenological model of Ref. 15 and qualitatively similar to the band-structure-based model of Ref. 17. Despite this qualitative similarity, the ratios such as J_6/J_5 and J_3/J_5 , as well as the absolute values of the exchanges, differ significantly, possibly because of the different choice of U, different code, or a high sensitivity of antlerite to perturbations.

We start the discussion of the low-temperature magnetic ground state by considering a simplified spin model of decoupled legs $(J_3 = J_4 = 0)$. Here, the central leg would have a helical ground state in the classical model and a gapped phase in the quantum $S = \frac{1}{2}$ case. The side legs would form helices, but in the vicinity of the fully polarized (ferromagnetic) phase; both states are quasiclassical with minor quantum corrections.

When we reinstate the interleg exchanges J_3 , J_4 , and J_7 , the consequent leverage has a drastic impact on the magnetism. At the classical level, a noncollinear state with the central leg twisted into a helix and fully polarized side legs has slightly lower energy than the collinear state which corresponds to the experimental (100) propagation vector. This disagreement may arise from inaccuracies in the exchange integrals, as a collinear state adiabatically connected to the (noncollinear) experimental ground state is found only $\sim 1.5 \,\mathrm{K}$ higher in energy than the helical state, well within the uncertainty of DFT. Such a small energy difference suggests an exquisite sensitivity to the ratios of the exchange interactions, which would imply that the competition between collinear and helical states can be manipulated by perturbations such as chemical substitution, pressure, or magnetic field.

To determine the ground state of the quantum model, we performed density-matrix renormalization group (DMRG) simulations using an open cluster of 40×3 spins. The resulting spin correlations indicate a noncollinear state in which central as well as side legs are twisted into a helix. Similar to the classical model, other states have comparable energies. For instance, the enhancement of $|J_1|$ and $|J_2|$ and the reduction of J'_6 readily stabilize the correct ground state [34]. More details on the energy balance of the competing phases are provided in the Supplemental Material below.

To gain further confidence in the exchange parameters, we computed the longitudinal magnetization $\langle S^z \rangle$ by DMRG on a 36×3 finite lattice and compared it with the experimental magnetization curve. While the field dependence of the magnetization for the parameter set from Table I is too steep [Fig. 2(a)], an effective description based on a modified set of parameters $(J_1 = -25.2,$ $J_2 = -16.8, J_3 = 14.7, J_4 = 6.3, J_5 = 42.0, J_6 = 10.5, J_6 = 1.7, \text{ and } J_7 = 6.7 \text{ K}$, also see the Supplemental Material below) yields excellent agreement [Fig. 2(b)]. With the data at hand, we conclude that antierite embodies a delicate balance of frustrated interactions operating within the central and side legs as well as connecting them into a ladder. Furthermore, by applying small perturbations, the appearance of fascinating phenomena caused by competition/collaboration between the Haldane physics and order-by-disorder mechanism is highly likely.

Finally, we comment on the magnetic state above the plateau-like feature at $M/M_{\rm s} = 2/3$, which is not a plateau so much as a gradual upturn. This means that quantum fluctuations are strong, and the central leg may no longer be AFM ordered, likely due to a loss of stabilizing interactions with the now-polarized side legs, which would also act to screen it from the neighboring ladders.



FIG. 2. Comparison of the magnetization curve measured on $Cu_3SO_4(OH)_4$ powder at 1.4 K (blue) against the DMRG simulation of the spin model (red). (a) Exchanges from Table I based on our refined crystal structure result in abrupt polarization of the side legs. (b) A modified parameter set (see text) improves the agreement. A g factor of 2.18 taken from ESR (Fig. S7) was used for scaling B.

This would render the central leg as an essentially ideal 1D Heisenberg spin system, and we speculate that this phase may be a new type of field-induced Tomonaga-Luttinger liquid. Detailed study of the high-field region would be extremely valuable.

Powder INS spectra collected at 1.5 and 6.0 K to validate the model parameters are shown in Figs. 3(a,b). Peaks in the magnetic intensity at energies of ~0.6 and 0.8 meV may correlate to the narrow band and the bottom of the upper magnon band in Fig. 3(d), calculated based on the exchange parameters in Table I. This intensity vanishes for higher momentum transfer Q and higher temperatures [Fig. 3(c)] as expected for a magnetic signal, and appears to disperse to a minimum near the propagation vector (black arrows). The calculated exchange parameters can evidently describe the key features of the spectrum, aside from an overall scale factor that is likely associated with the uncertainties of DFT, supporting the ratios among our J parameters.

The low-temperature magnetic state of $Cu_3SO_4(OH)_4$ contains all the essential ingredients found in botallackite: a low-dimensional Cu^{2+} spin system with mutually antialigned FM legs, separated by an AFM leg with a spin orientation tilted by a significant angle relative to the FM spin orientation, linked through a distortedtriangular motif. This suggests that antlerite may also realize the spinon-magnon mixing recently proposed in botallackite [9] and thus far reported in no other ma-



FIG. 3. Powder INS spectra of deuterated antlerite. Intensity maps at (a) 1.5 and (b) 6.0 K. (c) Temperature dependence of the intensity, averaged over the Q range identified by bars in (a,b); the 6-Å data were rescaled to match the 5-Å data at high energies. (d) Simulated spectra based on parameters in Tab. I; main panel is powder-averaged.

terials. The key difference is that in botallackite these legs alternate in a two-dimensional sheet, whereas in antlerite they form quasi-one-dimensional three-leg ladders with very weak interladder coupling. In antlerite, we find that the magnetic ground state is on the cusp of several other potential ground states, which are closely spaced in energy, suggesting that the magnetic ground state may be readily tuned, for instance by applied field, strain, or chemical substitution. In fact, when we first calculated the magnetic ground state starting from the atomic positions reported in Ref. 15, we obtained an upup-down-down magnetic ground state distinct from those discussed here. Since the reported structure differs from ours chiefly in interladder hydrogen positions irrelevant to the intrachain interactions, this is further evidence of an extreme sensitivity to perturbations. Besides idle-spin cycloidal order reported upon isoelectronic substitution of S by Se[35], which could perhaps be revisited in light of the non-idle-spin magnetism found here, a cascade of phase transitions have been reported with temperature and in applied magnetic fields [16, 18], to which we now add the high-field plateau.

The distorted triangular lattice motifs found in Cubased minerals have already revealed a number of interesting exotic magnetic phases, and antlerite stands to serve as an excellent platform for exploring their wealth of physics. The interchain exchanges in $Cu_3SO_4(OH)_4$ give rise to a model with a rich phase diagram that should be readily explored experimentally thanks to the advantageous energy scales.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Ulrike Nitzsche for technical assistance. This project was funded by the German Research Foundation (DFG) via the projects A05, C01, C03, and C06 of the Collaborative Research Center SFB 1143 (project-id 247310070); GRK 1621 (project-id 129760637); the Würzburg-Dresden Cluster of Excellence on Complexity and Topology in Quantum Matterct.qmat (EXC 2147, project-id 390858490); through individual research grants IN 209/9-1 and PE 3318/2-1, and through project-id 422219907. D.K. and O.J. were supported by the Leibniz Association through the Leibniz Competition. The authors acknowledge the Institut Laue-Langevin, Grenoble (France) for providing neutron beam time [36, 37], and the HLD at HZDR, member of the European Magnetic Field Laboratory (EMFL). Part of this work is based on experiments performed at the Swiss spallation neutron source SINQ, Paul Scherrer Institute, Villigen, Switzerland.

- O. A. Starykh, Unusual ordered phases of highly frustrated magnets: a review, Rep. Prog. Phys. 78, 052502 (2015).
- [2] H. T. Diep, *Frustrated Spin Systems*, 2nd ed. (World Scientific, Singapore, 2013).
- [3] T. A. Kaplan and N. Menyuk, Spin ordering in threedimensional crystals with strong competing exchange interactions, Phil. Mag. 87, 3711 (2007).
- [4] C. Lacroix, P. Mendels, and F. Mila, eds., *Introduction to Frustrated Magnetism*, Springer Series in Solid-State Sciences, Vol. 164 (Springer, Berlin, 2011).
- [5] A. P. Ramirez, Strongly geometrically frustrated mag-

nets, Annu. Rev. Mater. Sci. 24, 453 (1994).

- [6] C. D. Batista, S.-Z. Lin, S. Hayami, and Y. Kamiya, Frustration and chiral orderings in correlated electron systems, Rep. Prog. Phys. **79**, 084504 (2016).
- [7] B. Schmidt and P. Thalmeier, Frustrated two dimensional quantum magnets, Phys. Rep. 703, 1 (2017).
- [8] D. S. Inosov, Quantum magnetism in minerals, Adv. Phys. 67, 149 (2018).
- [9] H. Zhang, Z. Zhao, D. Gautreau, M. Raczkowski, A. Saha, V. O. Garlea, H. Cao, T. Hong, H. O. Jeschke, S. D. Mahanti, T. Birol, F. F. Assaad, and X. Ke, Coexistence and interaction of spinons and magnons in an anti-

ferromagnet with alternating antiferromagnetic and ferromagnetic quantum spin chains, Phys. Rev. Lett. **125**, 037204 (2020).

- [10] D. M. Gautreau, A. Saha, and T. Birol, Firstprinciples characterization of the magnetic properties of Cu₂(OH)₃Br, Phys. Rev. Mater. 5, 024407 (2021).
- [11] K. Majumdar and S. D. Mahanti, Quantum fluctuation effects on the ordered moments in a two dimensional frustrated ferrimagnet, J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 33, 125801 (2021).
- [12] F. C. Hawthorne, L. A. Groat, and R. R. Eby, Antlerite, Cu₃SO₄(OH)₄, a heteropolyhedral wallpaper structure, Can. Mineral. **27**, 205 (1989).
- [13] S. van Smaalen, Structural aspects of spin-chain and spin-ladder oxides, Z. Krist. – Cryst. Mater. 214, 786 (1999).
- [14] F. C. Hawthorne, Refinement of the crystal structure of botallackite, Mineralogical Magazine 49, 87 (1985).
- [15] S. Vilminot, M. Richard-Plouet, G. André, D. Swierczynski, M. Guillot, F. Bourée-Vigneron, and M. Drillon, Magnetic structure and properties of $Cu_3(OH)_4SO_4$ made of triple chains of spins s=1/2, J. Solid State Chem. **170**, 255 (2003).
- [16] S. Hara, H. Kondo, and H. Sato, Successive magnetic transitions in candidate "idle-spin" system, Cu₃(OH)₄SO₄, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 80, 043701 (2011).
- [17] H.-J. Koo, R. K. Kremer, and M.-H. Whangbo, Nonidle-spin behavior and field-induced magnetic transitions of the triple chain magnet Cu₃(OH)₄SO₄, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 81, 063704 (2012).
- [18] Y. Fujii, Y. Ishikawa, H. Kikuchi, Y. Narumi, H. Nojiri, S. Hara, and H. Sato, Magnetic property of a single crystal of spin-1/2 triple-chain magnet Cu₃(OH)₄SO₄, J. Korean Phys. Soc. **62**, 2054 (2013).
- [19] G. M. Sheldrick, A short history of SHELX, Acta Crystallogr. A 64, 112 (2008).
- [20] G. M. Sheldrick, Crystal structure refinement with SHELXL, Acta Crystallogr. Sect. C – Struct. Chem. 71, 3 (2015).
- [21] L. J. Farrugia, WINGX and ORTEP for WINDOWS: an update, J. Appl. Crystallogr. 45, 849 (2012).
- [22] I. Puente Orench, J. F. Clergeau, S. Martínez, M. Olmos, O. Fabelo, and J. Campo, The new powder diffractometer D1B of the Institut Laue Langevin, J. Phys.: Conf. Ser. 549, 012003 (2014).
- [23] T. Fennell, L. Mangin-Thro, H. Mutka, G. J. Nilsen, and A. R. Wildes, Wavevector and energy resolution of the polarized diffuse scattering spectrometer D7, Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res. Sect. A –Accel. Spectrom. Dect. Assoc. Equ. 857, 24 (2017).
- [24] J. Rodríguez-Carvajal, Recent advances in magnetic structure determination by neutron powder diffraction, Physica B: Condens. Matter 192, 55 (1993).
- [25] V. F. Sears, Neutron scattering lengths and cross sections, Neutron News 3, 26 (1992).
- [26] S. Janßen, J. Mesot, L. Holitzner, A. Furrer, and R. Hempelmann, FOCUS: a hybrid TOF-spectrometer at SINQ, Physica B: Condens. Matter 234–236, 1174 (1997).
- [27] S. Toth and B. Lake, Linear spin wave theory for single-Q incommensurate magnetic structures, J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 27, 166002 (2015).
- [28] Y. Skourski, M. D. Kuz'min, K. P. Skokov, A. V. Andreev, and J. Wosnitza, High-field magnetization of

Ho₂Fe₁₇, Phys. Rev. B 83, 214420 (2011).

- [29] S. Vilminot, M. Richard-Plouet, G. André, D. Swierczynski, F. Bourée-Vigneron, E. Marino, and M. Guillot, Synthesis, structure and magnetic properties of copper hydroxysulfates, Cryst. Eng. 5, 177 (2002).
- [30] S. Okubo, H. Yamamoto, M. Fujisawa, H. Ohta, T. Nakamura, and H. Kikuchi, High field ESR measurements of quantum triple chain system Cu₃(OH)₄SO₄, J. Phys.: Conf. Ser. **150**, 042156 (2009).
- [31] K. Koepernik and H. Eschrig, Full-potential nonorthogonal local-orbital minimum-basis band-structure scheme, Phys. Rev. B 59, 1743 (1999).
- [32] J. P. Perdew, K. Burke, and M. Ernzerhof, Generalized gradient approximation made simple, Phys. Rev. Lett. 77, 3865 (1996).
- [33] Interladder exchange interactions are on the order of fractions of a kelvin, two orders of magnitude weaker than the dominant intraladder exchanges. While they play a key role in producing three-dimensional long-range order, they have negligible impact on the type of order or the physics within a ladder.
- [34] The small mutual noncollinearity of side legs stems from the exchange anisotropy and cannot be accounted for in the (isotropic) Heisenberg model.
- [35] S. Vilminot, G. André, F. Bourée-Vigneron, M. Richard-Plouet, and M. Kurmoo, Magnetic properties and magnetic structures of $Cu_3(OD)_4XO_4$, X = Se or S: Cycloidal versus collinear antiferromagnetic structure, Inorg. Chem. **46**, 10079 (2007).
- [36] D. S. Inosov, A. A. Kulbakov, D. C. Peets, and I. Puente-Orench, Determining the zero-field magnetic structure of antlerite (2020), Institut Laue-Langevin (ILL), Grenoble. doi:10.5291/ILL-DATA.5-31-2734.
- [37] D. S. Inosov, A. A. Kulbakov, A. Mannathanath Chakkingal, D. C. Peets, and A. Wildes, Verifying the magnetic origin of the low-temperature diffuse signal in antlerite powder (2021), Institut Laue-Langevin (ILL), Grenoble. doi:10.5291/ILL-DATA.5-32-923.
- [38] Bruker, APEX3 v2018.1-0 (2017), Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, WI, USA.
- [39] S. A. Zvyagin, J. Krzystek, P. H. M. van Loosdrecht, G. Dhalenne, and A. Revcolevschi, High-field ESR study of the dimerized-incommensurate phase transition in the spin-Peierls compound CuGeO₃, Physica B: Condens. Matter **346-347**, 1 (2004).
- [40] A. S. Wills, A new protocol for the determination of magnetic structures using simulated annealing and representational analysis (SARA*h*), Physica B: Condens. Matter 276–278, 680 (2000).
- [41] M. Oshikawa and I. Affleck, Electron spin resonance in $S = \frac{1}{2}$ antiferromagnetic chains, Phys. Rev. B **65**, 134410 (2002).
- [42] V. I. Anisimov, J. Zaanen, and O. K. Andersen, Band theory and Mott insulators: Hubbard U instead of Stoner I, Phys. Rev. B 44, 943 (1991).
- [43] H. Eschrig and K. Koepernik, Tight-binding models for the iron-based superconductors, Phys. Rev. B 80, 104503 (2009).
- [44] C. E. Agrapidis, S.-L. Drechsler, J. van den Brink, and S. Nishimoto, Crossover from an incommensurate singlet spiral state with a vanishingly small spin gap to a valencebond solid state in dimerized frustrated ferromagnetic spin chains, Phys. Rev. B 95, 220404(R) (2017).
- [45] S. Nishimoto, S.-L. Drechsler, R. O. Kuzian, J. van den

Brink, J. Richter, W. E. A. Lorenz, Y. Skourski, R. Klingeler, and B. Büchner, Saturation field of frustrated chain cuprates: Broad regions of predominant interchain coupling, Phys. Rev. Lett. **107**, 097201 (2011).

S1. SUPPLEMENTAL MATERIAL

This Supplemental Material provides further details on experimental procedures, structure refinements, density functional theory (DFT) calculations, and density-matrix renormalization group (DMRG) simulations. CIF files for our refined crystal and magnetic structures are provided as ancillary files.

S2. FURTHER EXPERIMENTAL DETAILS

Neutron powder diffraction data were collected at D1B, ILL, Grenoble, France from 1 to 129° in steps of 0.1° using neutrons with a calibrated wavelength $\lambda = 2.528605$ Å, selected with a highly-oriented pyrolytic graphite [002] monochromator; instrumental broadening was determined from the refinement of a Na₂Ca₃Al₂F₁₄ standard, while wavelengths were refined using Si. Parasitic diffraction peaks from the sample environment were eliminated by a radial oscillating collimator. To more clearly resolve the difference between idle- and non-idle-spin ground states, additional neutron diffraction data with polarization analysis, shown in the inset to Fig. 1(h) in the main text, were collected on the D7 diffractometer at the ILL, Grenoble, France, at 1.5 K using a neutron wavelength of 4.8707 Å.

Three-dimensional x-ray data were integrated and corrected for Lorentz, polarization and background effects using Bruker's APEX3 software [38]. Lattice parameters from our 5.9-K powder neutron diffraction data were used for the 30-K x-ray refinement due to higher reliability. The x-ray atomic positions of all atoms other than hydrogen were then used as the basis for neutron powder refinements to determine the hydrogen positions, which were then introduced into the x-ray refinement as fixed parameters, in an iterative process. All neutron data were collected on deuterated samples ($\sim 95\%$ deuteration), to avoid the enormous incoherent cross-section of ¹H. X-ray techniques are sensitive to electron density, which in the case of hydrogen is minimal and shifted relative to the nucleus, so a direct comparison of H positions from xray diffraction with D positions from neutron diffraction would not be meaningful.

Magnetization data collected down to 1.8 K on a Quantum Design Magnetic Properties Measurement System (MPMS3-VSM) magnetometer (not shown) and specific heat data measured down to 0.35 K using the heat capacity and helium-3 options on a Quantum Design Physical Properties Measurement System (PPMS-DynaCool) are consistent with the phase diagram proposed in Ref. 18 insofar as there are no transitions observed below 2.8 K in low field (see Fig. S4). These data give us confidence that our magnetic diffraction experiments at 1.5 and 2.2 K were performed in the ground state phase. The lack of an electronic contribution to the specific heat at low temperatures, together with antlerite being transparent and green, lead us to conclude that it is an insulator.



FIG. S4. (a) Low-temperature specific heat c_P and (b) c_P/T of Cu₃SO₄(OH)₄. The dashed lines denote temperatures at which magnetic diffraction was performed. No transitions are observed near or below these temperatures, indicating that we have probed the low-temperature magnetic ground state.

To obtain the g-factor, high-field electron spin resonance (ESR) measurements were performed employing a transmission-type ESR spectrometer similar to that described in Ref. 39, in magnetic fields up to 16 T applied along the *a* axis. Measurements were done at a frequency of 356 GHz, using a VDI microwave-chain radiation source (Virginia Diodes, Inc., USA). An InSb hotelectron bolometer (QMC Instruments Ltd., UK) was used to record the spectra. The accuracy of the estimation of ESR fields and linewidths is better than $\pm 2\%$.

TABLE SII. Details of the refinement of the crystal structure of antlerite based on our neutron powder diffraction data at 5.9 K and single-crystal x-ray diffraction data at 30 K. These results are also described in Antlerite_NPD_5p9K.cif (neutron) Antlerite_XRD_30K.cif (x-ray).

Parameter	Value
Space group	Pnma (No. 62)
a	$8.2097(5)\text{\AA}$
b	6.0337(5) Å
c	11.9527(10) Å
V	592.08(7) Å ³
Z	4
Density	$4.0226(5) \mathrm{g/cm^3}$
Reflections (neutron)	181
Reflections (x-ray)	6795
Unique reflections (x-ray)	1070
Unique reflections $I > 2\sigma$ (x-ray)	874
hkl range probed (x-ray)	$-12 \le h \le 11,$
	$-7 \le k \le 8,$
	$-17 \le l \le 17$
F(000) (x-ray)	684
R (neutron)	3.63%
wR (neutron)	4.64%
R on reflections $I > 2\sigma$ (x-ray)	2.56%
wR on reflections $I > 2\sigma$ (x-ray)	6.43%



FIG. S5. X-ray crystal structure refinements. Comparison of the observed and calculated structure factors in our (a) 30-K and (b) 100-K single-crystal x-ray refinements, indicating excellent agreement. Reciprocal space maps are shown of the (c) (0kl) and (d) (h0l) planes at 100 K.

S3. STRUCTURE REFINEMENTS

Key details of our refinement of the crystal structure of deuterated antlerite based on neutron powder diffraction data at 5.9 K and single-crystal x-ray diffraction data at 30 K are summarized in Table SII, and Crystallographic Information Files (CIF) are also provided as part of the Supplementary Material. The refined atomic positions are reported in Table SIII, and anisotropic thermal parameters in Table SIV. The deuteration refined to 95.54(14) %D and 4.46(14) %H. Figure S5 shows selected results from these refinements. Reciprocal space maps of the (0kl) and (h0l) planes at 100 K, shown in Figs. S5(c) and S5(d), respectively, show sharp spots, indicating good crystal quality. Plots of the observed vs. calculated structure factors $F_{\rm calc}^2$ and $F_{\rm calc}^2$, shown in Figs. S5(a) and S5(b) for 30 and 100 K, respectively, in-

TABLE SIII. Refined atomic positions in synthetic antlerite based on our x-ray diffraction data at 30 K and our neutron powder diffraction data at 5.9 K, corresponding to the structure shown in Fig. 1 in the main text. Wy is the Wyckoff position. Cu, S, and O were refined with anisotropic thermal parameters, which are reported in Table SIV; for these sites an equivalent $U_{\rm equiv}$ is presented for U. The neutron sample was deuterated; the deuteration refined to 95.54(14) %D and 4.46(14) %H.

Site	Wy	x	y	z	U
Cu1	4c	0.00465(11)	0.25	0.00134(8)	0.0035(2)
Cu2	8d	0.29002(5)	0.00302(10)	0.12598(3)	0.00353(17)
\mathbf{S}	4c	0.13130(16)	0.25	0.36417(11)	0.0034(5)
01	4c	0.2631(5)	0.25	0.2820(3)	0.0057(18)
O2	4c	0.1997(5)	0.25	0.4779(4)	0.0061(18)
O3	8d	0.0319(3)	0.0464(4)	0.3482(2)	0.0054(12)
O4	4c	0.2812(6)	0.25	0.0250(4)	0.0051(19)
O5	4c	0.7010(6)	0.25	0.7779(4)	0.0059(18)
O6	8d	0.0469(3)	0.5064(6)	0.1022(2)	0.0048(10)
D1	4c	0.3588(9)	0.25	0.9675(7)	0.00582
D2	4c	0.2875(12)	0.25	0.7699(7)	0.02649
D3	8d	0.5121(8)	0.0110(12)	0.6721(4)	0.02802

dicate the excellent quality of the refinements.

Our x-ray refinement at 100 K is summarized in Tables SV, SVI, and SVII. With the obvious exception of the hydrogen sites, all atomic positions are well within the uncertainty of the values refined at lower temperature, but they are more precise at 100 K due to more comprehensive coverage of reciprocal space. This gives us additional confidence in the reliability of the data at low temperature, and excludes significant structural changes between 100 K and the magnetic transitions. Without neutron data at a comparable temperature it was possible to refine the positions of H1 and H3, but not H2. The sensitivity of x-rays to electrons, rather than the nucleus, shifts these positions closer to their nearest oxygen atom than in the neutron refinements, but they remain a plausible ~ 0.8 Å away. Hydrogen positions derived from neutron data are expected to more accurately represent the position of H^+ — neutrons scatter off the nucleus, while x-rays scatter off charge density, which in the case of H is both extremely sparse and shifted toward the nearest anion. Therefore, x-ray-derived H positions are typically shifted by ~ 0.1 Å, consistent with our results.

Our refined hydrogen positions are compared with those reported in Ref. 15 in Fig. S6. We find sites that are more symmetrically located relative to the host oxygen atoms. This may be due to the higher temperature in the previous report. The earlier data were collected at room temperature — at lower temperatures the hydrogen atoms may sit closer to the bottom of their potential well, expected to be asymmetric, while refined atomic positions become significantly more precise on cooling as thermal motion freezes out.

S4. MAGNETIC STRUCTURE REFINEMENT

The magnetic irreducible representation was determined using SARAH [40] to be Γ_2 and the propagation vector was identified using K_SEARCH, part of the FULL-PROF Suite [?]. The magnetic space group is Pn'm'a'(number 62.449). Refined magnetic moments for our lowtemperature magnetic structure based on data collected

TABLE SIV. Refined anisotropic thermal parameters in synthetic antlerite based on our x-ray diffraction data at 30 K.

Site	U_{11}	U_{22}	U_{33}	U_{12}	U_{13}	U_{23}
Cu1	0.0044(2)	0.0020(2)	0.0040(2)	0.0	-0.00118(20)	0.0
Cu2	0.00323(16)	0.00259(17)	0.00479(17)	-0.0001(2)	-0.00089(15)	0.0006(2)
\mathbf{S}	0.0029(5)	0.0035(5)	0.0039(5)	0.0	0.0002(5)	0.0
O1	0.0049(18)	0.0060(18)	0.0063(18)	0.0	0.0032(15)	0.0
O2	0.0073(19)	0.0059(18)	0.0049(18)	0.0	-0.0007(16)	0.0
O3	0.0040(11)	0.0034(13)	0.0087(11)	-0.0008(9)	0.0004(10)	-0.0001(9)
O4	0.0052(18)	0.0047(18)	0.0054(19)	0.0	0.0014(17)	0.0
O5	0.0065(19)	0.0055(18)	0.0056(18)	0.0	0.0015(16)	0.0
06	0.0045(10)	0.0059(11)	0.0040(10)	-0.0002(13)	-0.0002(9)	-0.0008(13)

at 2.2 K at D1B are provided in Table SVIII. A magnetic CIF describing these results, Antlerite_2p2K_mag.mcif, is provided as part of these Supplementary Materials.

S5. ELECTRON SPIN RESONANCE

Examples of ESR spectra are shown in Fig. S7(c). The measurements revealed a relatively broad resonance line. The value g = 2.18 measured at a temperature of 70 K [Fig. S7(a)] was used for calculation of the high-field magnetization. With decreasing temperature, the ESR lineshape exhibits a significant narrowing [Fig. S7(b)], suggesting exchange interactions along the antiferromagnetic (AFM) chains as the main cause [41]. We note that the temperature dependence of the linewidth is characteristic of antiferromagnetic $S = \frac{1}{2}$ Heisenberg spin chains [41].

TABLE SV. Details of the refinement of the crystal structure of antlerite based on our single-crystal x-ray diffraction data at 100 K. These results are also described in Antlerite_XRD_100K.cif.

Parameter	Value
Space group	<i>Pnma</i> (No. 62)
a	8.2267(12) Å
b	6.0457(9) Å
с	11.9741(18) Å
V	595.55(15) Å ³
Z	4
Density	$3.9562(10) \mathrm{g/cm^3}$
Reflections	15998
Unique reflections	1544
Unique reflections $I > 2\sigma$	1210
hkl range probed (x-ray)	$-13 \le h \le 13,$
	$-10 \le k \le 9,$
	$-19 \le l \le 19$
F(000) (x-ray)	684
R on reflections $I > 2\sigma$ (x-ray)	2.36%
wR on reflections $I > 2\sigma$ (x-ray)	4.45%

S6. DFT CALCULATIONS

A. Band structure

The nonmagnetic band structure was calculated for the experimental crystal structure of antlerite on a k-mesh of $9 \times 12 \times 6$ points. The underestimation of electronic correlations in GGA gives rise to a metallic solution signalled by the bands which cross the Fermi level, see Fig. S8. Antlerite is transparent and green, and there is no evidence for an electronic contribution to the specific heat, consistent with strongly insulating behavior, so we conclude that this metallic band structure is spurious, most likely due to strong electron correlations. An inability to deal with strong correlations is a general shortcoming of band structure calculation techniques, which is commonly addressed by adding the Hubbard U by hand [42].

By inspecting atomic and orbital characters of the respective bands at the Fermi level, we find that they cor-

TABLE SVI. Refined atomic positions in synthetic antlerite based on our x-ray diffraction data at 100 K. Wy is the Wyckoff position. Cu, S, and some O sites were refined with anisotropic thermal parameters, which are reported in Table SVII; for these sites an equivalent U_{equiv} is presented for U. As expected for an x-ray refinement, hydrogen positions are closer to their nearest oxygen atoms than the positions derived from neutron data. It was not possible to refine the H2 position.

Site	Wy	x	y	z	U
Cu1	4c	0.00465(4)	0.25	0.00133(3)	0.00355(7)
Cu2	8d	0.29003(2)	0.00300(4)	0.12598(2)	0.00362(6)
\mathbf{S}	4c	0.13126(7)	0.25	0.36416(5)	0.00329(10)
O1	4c	0.2635(2)	0.25	0.28210(14)	0.0060(3)
O2	4c	0.1994(2)	0.25	0.47802(15)	0.0060(3)
O3	8d	0.03205(15)	0.0469(2)	0.34816(11)	0.0055(2)
O4	4c	0.2816(2)	0.25	0.02488(15)	0.0048(3)
O5	4c	0.7014(2)	0.25	0.77753(14)	0.0054(3)
O6	8d	0.04683(15)	0.5064(2)	0.10239(10)	0.0049(2)
H1/D1	4c	0.355(6)	0.25	0.981(4)	0.037(13)
H2/D2	4c				
H3/D3	8d	0.504(4)	0.016(6)	0.662(4)	0.051(12)

TABLE SVII. Refined anisotropic thermal parameters in synthetic antlerite based on our x-ray diffraction data at 100 K.

Site	U_{11}	U_{22}	U_{33}	U_{12}	U_{13}	U_{23}
Cu1	0.00449(12)	0.00212(12)	0.00405(11)	0.0	-0.00115(9)	0.0
Cu2	0.00332(9)	0.00275(9)	0.00478(9)	0.00062(7)	-0.00086(6)	-0.00012(8)
\mathbf{S}	0.0027(2)	0.0033(2)	0.0039(2)	0.0	0.00040(19)	0.0
01	0.0049(8)	0.0067(8)	0.0063(7)	0.0	0.0030(6)	0.0
O2	0.0084(8)	0.0052(8)	0.0044(7)	0.0	-0.0019(6)	0.0
O3	0.0045(5)	0.0043(6)	0.0077(5)	0.0001(4)	0.0005(4)	-0.0012(4)
O4	0.0054(8)	0.0037(8)	0.0052(7)	0.0	0.0005(6)	0.0
O5	0.0077(8)	0.0034(8)	0.0051(7)	0.0	0.0001(6)	0.0
06	0.0048(5)	0.0059(5)	0.0040(4)	-0.0004(5)	-0.0003(4)	0.0000(5)

TABLE SVIII. Refined magnetic moments in antlerite in the magnetic space group Pn'm'a' (number 62.449) from data collected on the D1B diffractometer at 2.2 K.

Component	Moment $(\mu_{\rm B})$
Cu1 M_x	$\pm 0.54(17)$
Cu1 M_y	0.0
Cu1 M_z	$\pm 0.59(14)$
Cu2 M_x	0.0
Cu2 M_y	$\pm 0.38(5)$
Cu2 M_z	$\pm 0.89(9)$

respond to the antibonding combination of Cu $d_{x^2-y^2}$ and O p_{σ} orbitals. The dp_{σ} hybridization allows us to introduce an effective one-orbital model which captures the low-energy physics of antlerite. To this end, we constructed Cu-centered Wannier functions, using $d_{x^2-y^2}$ as the initial projector, following the procedure described in Ref. [43]. Consequently, we obtain a Hamiltonian de-



FIG. S6. View of our refined crystal structure along the a axis, where the hydrogen positions from Ref. [15] are shown in gray for comparison.

scribed in the basis of Wannier functions. A Fourier transform of this Hamiltonian leads to excellent agreement with the GGA bands, as seen in Fig. S8. The Wannier Hamiltonian contains hopping integrals that underpin our spin models, and the excellent quality of its band structure fit demonstrates that it captures the essential physics of the full GGA result.

B. Exchange couplings

Exchange integrals were obtained by a least-squares solution of a redundant system of linear equations parameterized by GGA+U total energies using Coulomb repulsion U_d values of 7.5, 8.5, and 9.5 eV. For each U_d , 64 magnetic configurations were considered. The fully localized limit was chosen for the double counting correction. The energies obtained from GGA+U calculations (E_{DFT}) and from fitting the model parameterized with exchange integrals (E_{fit}) is shown in Fig. S9. Results are summarized in Table SIX. The Weiss temperature θ_{W}



FIG. S7. Temperature dependence of the (a) resonance field and (b) ESR linewidth, taken at a frequency of 356 GHz with magnetic field applied along the a axis. (c) Corresponding examples of ESR spectra.



FIG. S8. The GGA band structure (gray) of antlerite and Fourier transform of the Wannier Hamiltonian (red). The Fermi level is at zero energy.

was calculated as a weighted sum of all exchanges normalized for $S = \frac{1}{2}$; the weights equal the multiplicities of the respective exchanges.

S7. GROUND-STATE CALCULATIONS

A. Parameter dependence of the magnetic structure

Antlerite, $Cu_3SO_4(OH)_4$, is a quasi-1D material, and its magnetic properties may be well described by a threeleg S = 1/2 Heisenberg zigzag ladder modified by additional further-neighbor interactions. While the experimentally observed noncollinearity hints at the relevance of anisotropic exchanges, a numerical estimation



FIG. S9. Scatter plot of calculated (E_{DFT}) and fitted (E_{fit}) energies for 64 spin-polarized states for $U_d = 7.5 \text{ eV}$. Since the calculated and fitted energies both contain large non-magnetic contributions, we subtract the minimal energy from both.

TABLE SIX. Values of magnetic exchanges and the Weiss temperature $\theta_{\rm W}$ as a function of the Coulomb repulsion U_d employed in our GGA+U calculations. See main text for the notation of the magnetic exchanges. Values are in kelvins.

U_d	$7.5\mathrm{eV}$	$8.5\mathrm{eV}$	$9.5\mathrm{eV}$
J_1	-22.7	-26.3	-28.7
J_2	-10.8	-11.3	-11.6
J_3	12.1	8.6	5.8
J_4	15.9	10.6	6.7
J_5	74.1	48.0	26.9
J_6	28.7	24.5	20.6
J_6'	7.3	6.1	5.1
J_7	1.3	1.1	1.0
J'_7	0.2	0.1	0.1
θ_{W}	23.3	14.2	7.1

of anisotropic terms requires noncollinear full-relativistic calculations that are computationally too expensive. We also note that such terms are typically too small to fundamentally change the nature of the ground state. A full estimation of the anisotropic components would be an interesting avenue for future research. To study the parameter dependence of the magnetic structure we calculated the static structure factor using the density-matrix renormalization group method with a 40×3 open cluster. We identified four possible magnetic phases in the realistic parameter region for $Cu_3SO_4(OH)_4$ deduced by the DFT calculations. This is a consequence of a delicate balance of frustrated interactions in this system. The four phases can be explained by a combination of two possible states in each of the legs: the central leg exhibits either AFM order or an incommensurate spiral (IC) state, while the side legs are either ferromagnetic (FM) or in an IC state. Schematic representations of the four states are shown in Fig. S10(a-d).

Magnetic diffraction data indicate that $Cu_3SO_4(OH)_4$ is in the FM-AFM-FM state at low temperature, where we use the notation X-Y-X to denote outer legs in state X and the central leg in state Y. The DFT parameter set gives an IC-AFM-IC state for $U_d < 8.35 \,\mathrm{eV}$ and an IC-IC-IC state for $U_d > 8.35 \,\mathrm{eV}$. Nevertheless, as mentioned in the main text, the experimental FM-AFM-FM ground state can be readily reproduced after a small modification of the DFT parameters. For example, an IC state on the side leg is driven, roughly speaking, by a competition between the second-neighbor AFM interaction J'_6 and first-neighbor FM interactions J_1 and J_2 . One may naively expect that FM order of the side leg can be achieved by reducing J'_6 or by increasing J_1 , J_2 . We thus investigated the ground state as a function of J'_6 , J_1 , and J_2 . For convenience, the original J'_6 and (J_1, J_2) are replaced by $\alpha J'_6$ and $\beta(J_1, J_2)$, respectively, while the other DFT parameters for a given U_d are left unchanged.

Let us first consider adjusting only J'_6 by changing α . The U_d - α phase diagram keeping J_1 and J_2 unchanged, i.e., $\beta = 1$, is shown in Fig. S10(e). We can see that



FIG. S10. (a-d) Schematic representations of possible magnetic ground states in the parameter region of Cu₃SO₄(OH)₄ deduced by DFT calculations. (e) α - U_d phase diagram with $\beta = 1$. (f) β - U_d phase diagram with $\alpha = 1$. (g) α - β phase diagram. Red cross denotes the DFT parameter set for $U_d = 8.5 \text{ eV}$. See also text.

FM order of the side legs is achieved for any plausible U_d when α is below ~ 0.6. Similarly, as shown in Fig. S10(f), FM order is obtained on the side legs when β is increased without unchanging J'_6 — i.e., for $\alpha = 1$. Starting with the DFT parameters at $U_d = 8.5 \,\mathrm{eV}$, the experimental FM-AFM-FM state is realized at $\alpha \lesssim 0.67$ in Fig. S10(e) or at $\beta \gtrsim 1.55$ in Fig. S10(f). Although these modifications may seem large, the experimental FM-AFM-FM state can be reproduced by, to cite one example, only a 20% reduction of J'_6 and a simultaneous 20% enhancement of J_1 and J_2 from the DFT parameters $(U_d = 8.5 \,\mathrm{eV})$. Furthermore, any reduction of J_6 , enhancement of J_7 , or increase of $|J_3 - J_4|$ would also act to stabilize the FM-AFM-FM state. It is possible to realize the experimental FM-AFM-FM state with only several-% modifications of the DFT parameters when all of the DFT parameters are tuned.

Additionally, it is instructive to consider the decoupled limit of the legs. In this limit, the FM critical point of the side leg is exactly obtained because the quantum fluctuations completely vanish at this point. For a leg with alternating FM interactions βJ_1 , βJ_2 and secondneighbor AFM interaction $\alpha J'_6$, the critical point is obtained via [44]

$$\frac{\alpha J_6'}{\beta J_1} = \frac{J_2}{2(J_1 + J_2)}.$$
(S1)

This relation is plotted as dashed blue lines in Fig. S10(e–g), where we can see that it closely tracks the phase boundaries involving a transition of the side legs from IC to FM order. This may indicate that the decoupled-leg

13



FIG. S11. (a) Experimental magnetization curve at 1.4 K on Cu₃SO₄(OH)₄ powder compared to those calculated by DMRG with the DFT parameter set ($U_d = 8.5 \,\mathrm{eV}$) and a modified parameter set: $J_1 = -25.2, J_2 = -16.8, J_3 = 14.7, J_4 = 6.3, J_5 = 42.0, J_6 = 10.5, J_6' = 1.7, \text{ and } J_7 = 6.7 \,\mathrm{K}$. (b) J_7 -dependence of the magnetization curve with fixed $J_1 = J_2 = -0.2J_5, J_3 = 0.3J_5, J_4 = 0.1J_5, \text{ and } J_6 = J_6' = 0$. (c) $|J_3 - J_4|$ -dependence of the magnetization curve with fixed $J_1 = J_2 = -0.2J_5, J_6 = J_6' = 0, J_7 = 0$.

limit gives a good approximation for the FM instability of the side legs. However, a more interesting finding is that a direct transition from the IC-IC-IC to FM-AFM-FM states occurs around $U_d = 8.5$ eV. In other words, the central leg is antiferromagnetically aligned in concurrence with the FM transition of the side legs even though the parameters J_5 and J_6 of the central leg are unchanged. This is related to a unique structure of the inter-leg interactions J_3 and J_4 . More details are discussed in the next subsection.

B. Magnetization with magnetic field

Field-dependent magnetization measurements performed on antierite powder up to 58 T are shown in Fig. S11(a) as well as Fig. 2 in the main text — powder was chosen since the theoretical model is isotropic and would otherwise require powder averaging of the data. The vertical scale here was set by fitting the experimental data to the DMRG results. The magnetization of $Cu_3SO_4(OH)_4$ exhibits a peculiar field dependence, the most notable feature of which is a broad plateau at $M/M_{\rm s} = 2/3$. This is essentially due to a large difference in susceptibility between the central and side legs. With increasing field, the nearly FM side legs are polarized first, then the AFM central leg begins to polarize at higher field. The location of this feature is well described by the DFT parameter set. However, there are some quantitative discrepancies compared to the experimental curve: the predicted magnetization increases too rapidly at low fields and its slope where the side legs saturate is too steep. Nevertheless, as mentioned in the main text, these discrepancies can be resolved by a minor modification of the DFT parameter set. The three magnetization curves are compared in Fig. S11(a). We here briefly explain why such a modification was applied.

We first provide guidelines on how to obtain a slower increase of magnetization at low fields. The simplest way is to increase the AFM interaction between the two side legs, i.e., J_7 . Since each side leg is in a FM state, their magnetization is controlled only by AFM inter-leg interactions [45]. This effect is demonstrated in Fig. S11(b). We can see that the susceptibility, i.e., dM/dB, at B = 0is inversely proportional to J_7 . Note that, for simplicity, we neglect the the second-neighbor interactions J_6 , J'_6 and the alternation of J_1 and J_2 because they are not essential in this analysis. Another way to push the onset of the kink in magnetization to higher fields is to increase the difference between J_3 and J_4 . In our spin model, each side spin is coupled to two central spins by J_3 and J_4 . The central leg consists of two sublattices due to AFM order, and these two central spins belong to different sublattices. Thus, for $J_3 = J_4$, the inter-leg interactions J_3 and J_4 effectively cancel out, and the magnetization curve behaves as if there were no interactions between the central and side legs. This is confirmed by an abrupt jump in Mat B = 0 in the case of $J_3/J_5 = J_4/J_5 = 0.2$ [Fig. S11(c)]. Once the cancellation balance is disrupted, i.e., $J_3 \neq J_4$, AFM fluctuations arise between the central and side legs. As a result, the magnetization increases more slowly with field for larger $|J_3 - J_4|$ as shown in Fig. S11(c). Finally, we note that an increase of J'_6 could also lead to a slower increase of the magnetization; however, J'_6 destroys the FM order of the side legs, so an increase of J'_6 is not

considered in detail.

Next, we discuss the origin of the rounding of the magnetization curve around $B = 15 \,\mathrm{T}$, which implies an asymptotic saturation of the side legs. Note that the effect of thermal fluctuations must be small because the measurement temperature $T = 1.4 \,\mathrm{K}$ is much smaller than the main interactions J_1 and J_2 of the side legs. In general, such rounding of a magnetization curve is only observed when significant spin anisotropy exists. In the case of antlerite, this rare feature arises from the unique structure of the inter-leg interactions J_3 and J_4 in our three-leg ladder. In Fig. S11(c), we find that the rounding becomes more obvious with increasing $|J_3 - J_4|$. Let us now consider what happens. For the sake of clarity, we assume translational-symmetry-broken AFM order on the central leg and consider the case of $J_3/J_5 = 0.4$ and $J_4/J_5 = 0$. As the applied field increases, the staggered magnetization on the central leg will rotate to be more (anti-)parallel to the field. With our maximally imbalanced J_3 and J_4 , one side leg will be coupled only to up spins in the central leg, while the other will be coupled only to down spins. These have different stability in high field, so the susceptibility of one side leg will be suppressed and the other enhanced, leading to asymptotic behavior of magnetization curve near the saturation.