Nonsmooth multigrid methods for small-strain plasticity

Oliver Sander

DD24, Svalbard, 9.2.2017



Small-strain elastoplasticity

- Classic problem in numerical analysis
- Dual formulation: nonsmooth saddle point problem
- Primal formulation: minimization problem

Solvers

- Basically a solved problem
- Predictor–corrector methods

Nonsmooth multigrid

- MUCH faster than previous methods
- Globally convergent
- a.k.a. inexact predictor-corrector methods



Total strain:

$$\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{u}) \coloneqq \frac{1}{2} \left(\nabla \mathbf{u} + (\nabla \mathbf{u})^T \right)$$

Additive split:

$$\boldsymbol{\varepsilon} = \mathbf{e} + \mathbf{p},$$

- Elastic strain $\mathbf{e} \in \mathbb{S}^d$
- Plastic strain $\mathbf{p} \in \mathbb{S}_0^d$ is symmetric and trace-free.

Only elastic strain leads to stress:

$$\sigma = C : e$$

Equilibrium conditions:

$$-\operatorname{div} \boldsymbol{\sigma} = \mathbf{f}$$





Evolution of $\mathbf{p}:$ Nonsmooth ODE

- Postulate existence of elastic set in space of stresses
- $\dot{\mathbf{p}} = 0$ if $\boldsymbol{\sigma} \in \operatorname{int} \mathcal{E}$
- $\dot{\mathbf{p}} \in N_{\mathcal{E}}(\boldsymbol{\sigma})$, if $\boldsymbol{\sigma} \in \partial \mathcal{E}$

Dual formulation

 \blacktriangleright Unknowns: Displacement ${\bf u}$ and stresses σ



Dissipation function

Support function of the elastic set

$$D(\mathbf{p}) := \sup_{\boldsymbol{\sigma} \in \mathcal{E}} \{\mathbf{p} : \boldsymbol{\sigma}\} \in \mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}.$$

- ► Convex, positively homogeneous, lower semicontinuous, proper
- Dual flow rule

$$\boldsymbol{\sigma} \in \partial D(\dot{\mathbf{p}})$$

Variational inequality of 2nd kind:

Find $\mathbf{w} = (\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{p}) : [0, T] \to W := H^1(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^d) \times L_2(\Omega, \mathbb{S}^d_0)$ so that

$$a(\mathbf{w}(t), \tilde{\mathbf{w}} - \dot{\mathbf{w}}(t)) + j(\tilde{\mathbf{w}}) - j(\dot{\mathbf{w}}(t)) \ge \langle l(t), \tilde{\mathbf{w}} - \dot{\mathbf{w}}(t) \rangle \quad \forall \tilde{\mathbf{w}} \in W, t \in (0, T)$$

with

$$a(\mathbf{w}, \tilde{\mathbf{w}}) := \int_{\Omega} \left[\mathbf{C}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{u}) - \mathbf{p}) : (\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\tilde{\mathbf{u}}) - \tilde{\mathbf{p}}) + k_1 \mathbf{p} : \tilde{\mathbf{p}} \right] dx,$$
$$j(\tilde{\mathbf{w}}) := \int_{\Omega} D(\tilde{\mathbf{p}}, \tilde{\eta}) dx, \qquad \langle l(t), \tilde{\mathbf{w}} \rangle = \int_{\Omega} \mathbf{f}(t) \cdot \tilde{\mathbf{u}} dx.$$



Von Mises plasticity

$$\mathbf{p} \in \mathbb{S}_0^d, \qquad D(\mathbf{p}) = \sigma_c \|\mathbf{p}\|.$$

Tresca plasticity

 $D(\mathbf{p}) = \sigma_c \max\{|p_1|, |p_2|, |p_3|\}, \qquad p_1, p_2, p_3 \text{ the eigenvalues of } \mathbf{p}$

Isotropic hardening

- Additional scalar variable η
- Von Mises plasticity with isotropic hardening

$$(\mathbf{p},\eta) \in \mathbb{S}_0^d \times \mathbb{R}_+, \qquad D(\mathbf{p},\eta) = \begin{cases} c_0 \|\mathbf{p}\| & \text{if } \|\mathbf{p}\| \le \eta, \\ +\infty & \text{else.} \end{cases}$$

Mutatis mutandis for Tresca plasticity with isotropic hardening



Discretization in time

- Implicit Euler method
- Increments $\Delta \mathbf{w}_n := \mathbf{w}_n \mathbf{w}_{n-1}$ minimize the functional

$$\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{w}) = \frac{1}{2}a(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{w}) + j(\mathbf{p}) - \langle l_n, \mathbf{w} \rangle, \qquad \mathbf{w} = (\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{p}).$$

Functional is strictly convex and coercive.

Discretization in space

- Displacements: first-order Lagrangian finite elements with values in \mathbb{R}^d
 - Scalar basis functions ϕ_i , $i = 1, \ldots, n_1$
- ▶ Plastic strain: zero-order elements with values in \mathbb{S}_0^d
 - Scalar basis functions θ_i , $i = 1, \ldots, n_2$



Algebraic increment functional:

$$L : (\mathbb{R}^d)^{n_1} \times (\mathbb{S}_0^d)^{n_2} \to \mathbb{R}$$
$$L(w) := \frac{1}{2} w^T A w - b^T w + \sum_{i=1}^{n_2} \int_{\Omega} \theta_i(x) \, dx \cdot D\bigg(\sum_{j,k=1}^d p_{i,jk}\bigg).$$

Stiffness matrix:

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} E & C \\ C^T & P \end{pmatrix}.$$

Elasticity matrix

$$(E_{ij})_{kl} = \int_{\Omega} \mathbf{C}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\phi_i \mathbf{e}_k) : \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\phi_j \mathbf{e}_l) \, dx, \qquad 1 \le i, j \le n_1, \quad 1 \le k, l \le d$$

"Plastic strain matrix"

$$P_{ii} = \int_{\Omega} \left(\mathbf{C} + k_1 \mathbf{I} \right) \theta_i(x)^2 \, dx, \qquad 1 \le i \le n_2$$

 $\blacktriangleright\,$ plus coupling terms C



Block structure

- ▶ n_1 blocks of size d, followed by n_2 blocks of size $d \times d$
- Canonical restriction operators R_i

Nonsmooth strictly convex minimization problem

Separable energy

$$L(w) = L_0(w) + \sum_{i \in \text{blocks}} \varphi_i(R_i w)$$

- ► $L_0(w) = \frac{1}{2}w^T A w b^T w$ is smooth, strictly convex, coercive
- Convex, proper, lower semi-continuous functionals $\varphi_i : \mathbb{R}^{n_i} \to \mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}$.

$$\varphi_i(w) := \int_{\Omega} \theta_i(x) \, dx \cdot D\bigg(\sum_{j,k=1}^d p_{i,jk}\bigg).$$



The algorithm

- 1. Nonlinear presmoothing (Gauß-Seidel)
 - ▶ For each block *i*, inexactly solve a local minimization problem for

$$L_i(w) := L_0(R_i^T w) + \varphi_i(w)$$

in the variables of the *i*-th block.

- 2. Truncated linearization
 - ▶ Freeze all variables where *L* is not differentiable.
 - Linearize everywhere else
- 3. Linear correction
 - One linear geometric multigrid step
- 4. Projection onto admissible set
 - ▶ Simply in the ℓ^2 -sense
- 5. Line search
 - ▶ 1d nonsmooth convex minimization problem: use bisection



Theorem (Gräser, S. 2016)

Let $w^0 \in \text{dom } L$ and assume that the inexact solution local solution operator \mathcal{M}_i satisfies the following assumptions:

- Monotonicity: $L(\mathcal{M}_i(w)) \leq L(w)$ for all $w \in \text{dom } L$.
- Continuity: M_i is continuous.
- ▶ Stability: $L(M_i(w)) < L(w)$ if L(w) is not minimal in the *i*-th block.

Then the iterates produced by the TNNMG method converge to the unique minimizer of L.



Local minimization problems for von Mises plasticity:

$$L_i: \mathbb{S}_0^d \to \mathbb{R}, \qquad L_i(p):= \frac{1}{2}p^T P_{ii}p - p^T r_i + \lambda_i \sigma_c \|p\|$$

with

$$P_{ii} = \int_{\Omega} (\mathbf{C} + k_1 \mathbf{I}) \theta_i(x)^2 \, dx$$

Lemma (Folklore; Alberty, Carstensen, Zarrabi, 1999)

The exact minimizer is

$$p^* = \frac{\max\left\{(\|\operatorname{dev} r_i\| - \lambda_i \sigma_c), 0\right\}}{\lambda_i (2\mu + k_1)} \frac{\operatorname{dev} r_i}{\|\operatorname{dev} r_i\|}.$$

Alternative:

Steepest descent methods



Linear correction problems

Newton linearization

$$H_k = \begin{pmatrix} E & C \\ C^T & P + \nabla^2 \varphi(w_k) \end{pmatrix}.$$

- ► Freeze degrees of freedom where dissipation function is not differentiable
- Do one geometric multigrid iteration

Semi-definite Hesse matrices

- ▶ Freezing of variables leads to semi-definite Hesse matrices.
- Modify linear geometric multigrid to handle semi-definiteness

Alternative

- ▶ $\tilde{P} := P + \nabla^2 \varphi(w_k)$ is block-diagonal; computing pseudo-inverse \tilde{P}^+ is cheap.
- ▶ Pseudo-Schur-complement $S := E C\tilde{P}^+C^T$ is positive definite.
- ► Do linear multigrid step for the Schur complement system.



Predictor-corrector with consistent tangent

- 1. Predictor
 - ▶ Freeze all variables where *L* is not differentiable.
 - Linearize everywhere else
 - Solve exactly!
- 2. Corrector
 - ► For each plastic strain block *i*, solve a local minimization problem for

$$L_k(w) := L_0(R_i^T w) + \varphi_i(w)$$

in the variables of the *i*-th block.

- 3. Line search
 - 1d nonsmooth convex minimization problem



Geometry and boundary conditions



levels	cells	vertices
1	176	105
2	704	385
3	2816	1 473
4	11 264	5 761
5	45 056	22 785
6	180 224	90 625

Evolution of the plastic zone









- One nonlinear smoothing step per iteration
- Using exact local solver
- ▶ TNNMG: one V(3,3)-cycle for the linear correction
- ▶ Predictor-corrector: Solve linear correction with UMFPack





Time to solution



Wall-time normalized with problem size

► This is a logarithmic plot!





Time to solution



Wall-time normalized with problem size

- ► This is a logarithmic plot!
- ► On finest grid, TNNMG is about 40 times faster than predictor-corrector!





Time to solution



Wall-time normalized with problem size

- ► This is a logarithmic plot!
- ► On finest grid, TNNMG is about 40 times faster than predictor-corrector!
- ► Unfair comparison: UMFPack uses my second CPU core





Conclusion

- Direct multigrid method for small-strain von Mises plasticity
- Much faster than state-of-the-art algorithms
- Nevertheless provably convergent
- Interpretation as inexact predictor-corrector methods

Outlook

Works also for

- Tresca flow rules and others
- Isotropic hardening and others
- Certain gradient plasticity models
- Certain crystal plasticity models

