









Hannah Jacobi, Arno Straessner, Tom Kreße, Manuel Gutsche, Christian Schmidt Institut für Kern- und Teilchenphysik, TU Dresden

Search for a light CP-odd Higgs boson with the ATLAS detector – data-driven modelling of background processes

Session T 82: Higgs, Di-Higgs II DPG Spring Meeting Dresden, 22nd of March, 2023

Introduction

- Follow up talk on the analysis that <u>Tom Kreße</u> introduced
- Search for light CP-odd Higgs boson A in the decay channel: $A \rightarrow \tau + \tau \rightarrow e + \mu + 4\nu$

- Main background in the signal region: $Z \rightarrow \tau \tau$
- Background processes modelled using Monte Carlo simulation, except "Multijet" → data-driven Fake Factor method









Fake background estimation





Fake events

- Fake events = Events from misidentifying jets as leptons
 OR actual leptons from the decay of nonprompt particles
- Wide variety in sources with very large cross-sections: semi-leptonic heavy-flavour decay, photon conversion, charged hadrons, meson decay
- Especially prominent in low p_T regions
- Hard to simulate in Monte Carlo

 → use data-driven approach to estimate
 this background







Fake Factor Method

- Used for estimating double Fake events
- Fake Factors "FF":

$$FF = \frac{N_{A}^{fake}}{N_{B}^{fake}} = \frac{N_{A}^{data} - N_{A}^{MC}}{N_{B}^{fake} - N_{B}^{MC}}$$

• Number of fake events:

$$N_{D}^{fake} = FF \cdot N_{C}^{fake} = FF \cdot (N_{C}^{data} - N_{C}^{MC})$$

• Fake Factors are calculated in $Z{\rightarrow}\tau\tau$ validation region







Fake Factor Method

- Used for estimating double Fake events
- Fake Factors "FF":

$$FF = \frac{N_A^{fake}}{N_B^{fake}} = \frac{N_A^{data} - N_A^{MC}}{N_B^{fake} - N_B^{MC}}$$

• Number of fake events: $N_D^{fake} = FF \cdot N_C^{fake} = FF \cdot (N_C^{data} - N_C^{MC})$

 Systematics based on: statistical and experimental systematic uncertainties of A, B and C region







$\textbf{Z}{\rightarrow}\tau\tau$ validation region with multijet background







Validation of Fake Factors

- FF calculated in $Z \rightarrow \tau \tau$ and top validation region are statistically compatible
- Use same-sign regions for further validation of the multijet background apply FF from $Z \rightarrow \tau \tau$ region here







Same-sign $Z \rightarrow \tau \tau$ validation region



• Further validation:

Currently implementing alternative Matrix Method

 \rightarrow estimating single and double fake events using a data-driven method





Reweighting of the $Z \rightarrow \tau \tau$ background





Mismodelling in the $Z{\rightarrow}\tau\tau$ validation region

- Observed: mismodelling in Z→ττ validation region especially in njets distribution, also in missing E_T
- Important for signal region, as background here dominated by $Z{\rightarrow}\tau\tau$
- Unsuccessfully looked into:
 - Triggers
 - Data-taking years
 - New Sherpa 2.2.11 samples
 - Different lepton IDs







Data-driven reweighting approach

- Problem pinned down to $Z \rightarrow \tau \tau$ bkg \rightarrow correct $Z \rightarrow \tau \tau$ using the njets distribution
- Data-driven approach: event weights for $Z \rightarrow \tau \tau$ calculated in $Z \rightarrow \tau \tau$ validation region:

weight =
$$\frac{Data - \sum_{i \neq Z\tau\tau} Bkg_i}{Bkg_{Z\tau\tau}}$$







Data-driven reweighting approach







Results of reweighting in $Z \rightarrow \tau \tau$ validation region



Have to reiterate calculation of Fake Factors after reweighting procedure





Results of reweighting in $Z \rightarrow \tau \tau$ validation region



• E_{T,miss} important selection variable used for estimating mass of A boson





Summary and outlook

• Presented two modelling procedures in the search for a light CP-odd Higgs boson A in the A \rightarrow T T \rightarrow e μ channel

- Background modelling with Fake Factor Method successful
- Reweighting of njets distribution for $Z \rightarrow \tau \tau$ samples necessary

 Alternative Matrix Method for fake background estimation currently in implementation





Thank you for your attention!













More distributions in the $\textbf{Z}{\rightarrow}\tau\tau$ validation region







More distributions in the same-sign $\textbf{Z}{\rightarrow}\tau\tau$ validation region







Alternative: Matrix Method

- estimate single and double fake events
- Find a relation between real/fake leptons reconstructed as tight/loose
- Real efficiency E: probability to reconstruct real lepton as tight
- Fake efficiency f: probability to reconstruct a fake lepton as tight

• Easy case with one lepton:

٠

$$\begin{pmatrix} T \\ L \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \epsilon & f \\ (1-\epsilon) & (1-f) \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} R \\ F \end{pmatrix}$$

By inverting the matrix: calculate R and F → contribution of fakes to tight signal (in the two lepton case):

 $TT_{fake} = \epsilon f \cdot R F + f \epsilon \cdot F R + f f \cdot F F$





Matrix Method

• Formula for the case of one electron and one muon in the final state:







Reweighting of the $Z \rightarrow \tau \tau$ background







Mismodelling in the $\textbf{Z}{\rightarrow}\tau\tau$ validation region

 Recent development: similar behaviour in generator uncertainties of renormalization scale of the Z→ττ samples







More changes in $Z \rightarrow \tau \tau$ validation region due to reweighting







Change in limit due to reweighting procedure





